

# Stellar explosion in the weak field approximation of the Brans-Dicke theory

Hamity Victor H and Barraco Daniel E  
Fa.M.A.F., Universidad Nacional de Córdoba  
Ciudad Universitaria  
Córdoba 5000, Argentina

June 30, 2005

## Abstract

We treat a very crude model of an exploding star, in the weak field approximation of the Brans-Dicke theory, in a scenario that resembles some characteristics data of a Type Ia Supernova. The most noticeable feature, in the electromagnetic component, is the relationship between the absolute magnitude at maximum brightness of the star and the decline rate in one magnitude from that maximum. This characteristic has become one of the most accurate method to measure luminosity distances to objects at cosmological distances[1, 2]. An interesting result is that the active mass associated with the scalar field is totally radiated to infinity, representing a mass loss in the ratio of the “tensor” component to the scalar component of 1 to  $(2\omega + 3)$  ( $\omega$  is the Brans-Dicke parameter), in agreement with a general result of Hawking [3]. Then, this model shows explicitly, in a dynamical case, the mechanism of radiation of scalar field, which is necessary to understand the Hawking result.

PACS numbers(s): 04.30.Db, 04.50.+h, 04.80.Cc

## 1 Introduction

Although the problem of constructing an exact solution in the Brans-Dicke (BD) theory, that may be considered a generalization of Vaidya solution[4], has been addressed in the past[5], this solution has not been found yet. That generalization must reduce to Vaidya solution corresponding to the external gravitational field of a radially radiating star, in the limit of GR, and also, in the limit that the radiation flux vanishes, we must be able to obtain one of the empty space solution of Brans[6].

The interest of having a solution in the BD theory associated with electromagnetic radiation is to use it in the construction of a model of an exploding star that includes the ejection of a shell of mater, electromagnetic radiation in a similar way as in a model in GR[7, 8, 9], and scalar field radiation. This may be in consonance with a star model in which a highly evolved core implodes to nuclear densities while at the same time the outer most layers of matter are blown at high speed. This idea can be realized in the weak field approximation of BD theory using the method of Barros and Romero[10] to construct a generalization of Vaidya solution that it is matched through a spherical singular hypersurface to a satisfactory interior solution.

The formalism of a singular hypersurface in BD theory has been developed and used in the description of bubbles dynamics in extended inflation models of the Universe[11, 12], among others applications. While Barrabes et al[13] treat a singular hypersurface in BD theory in the Einstein representation, another authors[11, 12] develop the formalism of a singular hypersurface in the Jordan-Fierz (J-F) representation. We shall follow the last approach in which it is usually considered that the metric corresponds to the physical one.

In the weak field method of Barros and Romero if the metric field equation is considered in the Einstein representation, it does not depend on the scalar field. As a consequence, the dynamics of the singular hypersurface can be obtained in a similar manner as in the corresponding model of GR. Of course, the scalar field and the metric in the JF representation will depend on the matter model assumed for the ejected shell,

while the radiation involved in the process will include two components, one corresponding to the scalar field and the other to the electromagnetic radiation, both tuned with the evolution of their sources. Thus, once we have determined the evolution of the sources, we know the interior and exterior solutions and the content and variation of the radiated energy at infinity in both components.

In section 2 we present a brief summary of the results of the model of Barros and Romero[10]. Section 3 is devoted to present the results of the theory of surface layers in BD theory, useful in the weak field limit. In section 4 we discuss a general model of an stellar explosion by constructing the metric and the scalar field both in the interior and exterior regions of the singular hypersurface. We end the section with a derivation of the frequency shift of spectral lines in the background metric and the luminosity of the exploding object as seen by a distant observer at rest. In section 5 we treat a particular model by matching two Vaidya solutions, with time dependent masses  $m^-$  and  $m^+$ , respectively, through a shell of dust[9], to obtain the solution of Einstein equation, necessary to construct the BD solution in its linearized form, for the same matter distribution. The time evolution of the shell of dust is completely determined by the GR matching conditions for the metric alone. The resulting system of equations of motion consists of two ordinary differential equations for four unknowns. To complete the system, we obtain, first, an equation that shows how the total rest mass of the shell changes due to the balance between the total energy per unit time incident on the shell,  $J_-$ , and the total energy per unit time emitted by the shell,  $J^+$ , both at the shell surface. Then, according to the general characteristic of the scenario in which we are interested in, we may consider that  $J^- \ll J^+$ , and therefore,  $J^-$  it is neglected in the energy balance equation. This assumption is equivalent to consider  $m^-$  as constant. Another assumption is to take, essentially,  $J^+$  proportional to the radius of the shell through a constant,  $\chi$ , that it is characteristic of the radiation production mechanism within the shell. This choice is similar to that one made by Hamity and Gleiser[7], on different grounds, that leads to a satisfactory model of a stellar explosion, with characteristic parameters such as maximum luminosity and time decay from that maximum, corresponding to a Type Ia supernova[2]. This is precisely the scenario in which we propose to compute the output of scalar energy from the ejected shell. For this particular example we compute the effective active mass of the system as a function of an external observer time. This effective mass presents two components: the “tensor” component, related to the radiation of electromagnetic energy, and the scalar component, related to the radiation of scalar field. We end the section with a numerical integration of the system of differential equations from a given set of initial conditions. We show a comparative set of results for different values of the separation constant  $\chi$ , the Brans-Dicke parameter  $\omega$ , and the initial active mass of the shell. One interesting result is that the active mass, associated with the scalar field, is totally radiated to infinity; for the given system of initial conditions the process takes place in a short time, well before the star reaches its maximum luminosity if the initial active mass of the shell is of the order of or greater than  $10^{-2}M_\odot$ . This represents a mass loss in the ratio of the “tensor” component to the scalar component of 1 to  $(2\omega + 3)$ , in agreement with a general result of Hawking [3]. Then, this model shows explicitly, in a dynamical case, the mechanism of radiation of scalar field, which is necessary to understand the Hawking result. In the last section we summarize the main results of our work.

## 2 The weak field limit

In the weak field approximation the solutions of BD equations are simply related to the solutions of GR for the same matter distribution. Following Barros and Romero[10] the corresponding field equations are:

$$g_{ab}(x) = (G_0\phi)^{-1}\bar{g}_{ab}(G_0, x) , \quad (1)$$

$$g_{ab}(x) = \eta_{ab} + h_{ab} , \quad h_{ab} \ll 1 , \quad (2)$$

$$\phi(x) = \phi_0 + \epsilon(x) , \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{G}_{ab} = 8\pi G_0 T_{ab} , \quad (4)$$

$$\square\epsilon = \frac{8\pi T}{2\omega + 3} , \quad (5)$$

The “bar” on top of a symbol means that it is considered in the Einstein representation. For instance,  $\bar{g}_{ab}(G_0, x)$  is the solution of eq.(4). The same symbol without the bar is in the J-F representation which it is usually considered as the physical one. Thus, the physical metric is obtained from eq.(1). The box operator

$\square$  corresponds to the wave equation for the flat metric;  $T_{ab}$  is the (matter) energy momentum tensor. In first order  $\bar{T}_{ab} = T_{ab}$ ;  $T$  is the trace of  $T_{ab}$ ;  $\epsilon(x)$  is a first order term in the energy density;  $\phi_0$  is an arbitrary constant which satisfies  $|\phi_0| \gg |\epsilon|$ ;  $G_0 = \phi_0^{-1}$ . Actually, in order that BD theory posses a Newtonian limit this constant must be related to the Newtonian gravitational constant  $G$  by[14]

$$G_0 = \left( \frac{2\omega + 3}{2\omega + 4} \right) G . \quad (6)$$

Essentially, in the weak field approximation the metric calculated from BD equations is quasi-conformally related to the metric calculated from Einstein equations for the same matter configuration. The term quasi-conform means that in going from an Einstein solution  $\bar{g}_{ab}(G, x)$  to the corresponding BD solution  $g_{ab}(x)$ , apart from the conformal factor  $[1 - G_0 \epsilon(x)]$  we must replace  $G$  by the new  $\omega$ -dependent “effective” gravitational constant  $G_0$  given by (6).

### 3 Singular hypersurface in BD theory

The procedure sketched in the previous section requires to find first the metric  $\bar{g}_{ab}$ . To this end we have to specify the tensor  $T_{ab}$  corresponding to the matter. We choose to have a singular hypersurface of matter,  $\Sigma$ , that separates the spacetime,  $M$ , into the external region  $M^+$ , with metric  $g_{ab}^+$ , and the internal region  $M^-$ , with metric  $g_{ab}^-$ :  $M = M^+ \cup \Sigma \cup M^-$ . The regions  $M^+$  and  $M^-$  are non-empties; this a necessary requirement to show that the weak field condition (2) guarantees the condition (3) in  $M$ .

Before we propose the solutions  $\bar{g}_{ab}^\pm$  and  $\epsilon^\pm$  in the regions  $M^\pm$  we have to consider the matching conditions at  $\Sigma$  that such solutions have to satisfy. Of course, the matching conditions of the metrics  $\bar{g}^+$  and  $\bar{g}^-$  are the same as in GR[15]:

$$8\pi G_0 S = (\bar{K}^+ - \bar{K}^-) - \bar{g} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{K}^+ - \bar{K}^-) , \quad (7)$$

where  $\bar{K}^+$  and  $\bar{K}^-$  are the corresponding extrinsic curvatures of  $\Sigma$  as “seen” from  $M^+$  or  $M^-$  in terms of the metrics  $\bar{g}_{ab}^\pm$ , respectively;  $\bar{K}^+$  and  $\bar{K}^-$  are tensors in  $\Sigma$ ;  $\bar{g}$  is the induced metric on  $\Sigma$  by the metric  $\bar{g}$  of the spacetime  $M$ . Finally,  $S$  is the surface energy momentum tensor in  $\Sigma$ .

The extrinsic curvature  $\bar{K}^+$  can be expressed in terms of its components in a coordinate basis  $\{e_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ :

$$\bar{K}_{ij}^+ = e_i^a e_j^b \bar{K}_{ab}^+ , \bar{K}_{ab}^+ = -(h_a^c h_b^d \bar{N}_{c;d})|_{\Sigma^+} , \quad h_a^c = \delta_a^c + \bar{N}_a \bar{N}^c , \quad (8)$$

where  $\bar{N}|_{\Sigma^+}$  is the unit normal vector to  $\Sigma$ , pointing from  $M^-$  to  $M^+$ , with its components expressed in  $M^+$ ; In eq. (8) “;” indicates the covariant derivative using the Riemannian connection of  $M^+$  associated with  $\bar{g}_{ab}^+$ . Similar expressions correspond to  $\bar{K}_{ij}^-$ .

On the other hand, eq.(5) is in the J-F representation. The corresponding matching conditions on the scalar field  $\phi$  through  $\Sigma$  are:

$$\epsilon|_{\Sigma^+} = \epsilon|_{\Sigma^-} , \quad (9)$$

while its normal derivative has a discontinuity given by[12]:

$$[N(\epsilon)]_{\Sigma^+} - [N(\epsilon)]_{\Sigma^-} = - \frac{8\pi \operatorname{tr} S}{3 + 2\omega} , \quad (10)$$

where  $\operatorname{tr} S$  is the trace of  $S$ ,

$$[N(\epsilon)]_{\Sigma^+} = \left( N^a \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x^a} \right)_{\Sigma^+} , \quad (11)$$

and a similar expression for  $\Sigma^-$ .

## 4 The model

### 4.1 General characteristics of the model

To construct a simple model of an stellar explosion we choose  $\Sigma$  as the history of an spherical surface with metric

$$ds_\Sigma^2 = d\tau^2 - R^2(\tau) d\Omega^2 . \quad (12)$$

Also, we consider  $M^+$  filled with a coherent unpolarized radial flow of electromagnetic radiation represented by

$$T_{ab}^+ = (\rho k_a k_b)^+ , \quad (13)$$

where  $k_a^+$  is a null vector. Then, the exterior metric solution of eq.(4) is represented by Vaidya's metric[4]:

$$ds_+^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2G_0 m^+(\mu)}{r}\right) d\mu^2 + 2 d\mu dr - r^2 d\Omega^2 , \quad (14)$$

with

$$k^{+a} = \delta_r^a , \quad \frac{dm^+}{d\mu} = -4\pi r^2 \rho^+ . \quad (15)$$

The scalar field in the exterior region satisfies eq.(5) with  $T = 0$ . An outgoing wave solution is:

$$\epsilon^+(x) = \frac{f(\mu)}{r} , \quad (16)$$

where  $f(\mu)$  is an arbitrary function at the moment.

We consider a general model corresponding to:

- A time dependent spherical shell of matter with an energy momentum tensor, compatible with the symmetry of the problem, given by

$$S = \eta v \otimes v + p(v \otimes v - \tilde{g}) , \quad \text{the basis } \{e_j\} = \{\partial/\partial\tau, \partial/\partial\theta, \partial/\partial\varphi\} , \quad (17)$$

where  $v$  is the velocity of a fluid element in  $\Sigma$ ,  $\eta$  the surface energy density and  $p$  the isotropic pressure within the shell.

- The metric  $g_{ab}^-$  has the general form

$$ds_-^2 = F^2(\xi, r) d\xi^2 - H^2(\xi, r) dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2 , \quad (18)$$

where we have chosen the area variable  $r$  as one of the coordinates, for simplicity.

- The metric  $g_{ab}^+$  in first order takes the form

$$ds_+^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2G_0 m^+(\mu)}{r} - G_0 \epsilon^+\right) d\mu^2 + (1 - G_0 \epsilon^+)(2 d\mu dr - r^2 d\Omega^2) . \quad (19)$$

- The interior of the shell is occupied by a central spherical body and, perhaps, electromagnetic radiation and traceless matter in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma^-$ , such that in that region, close to  $\Sigma$ , the scalar field solution of eq.(5) is

$$\epsilon^-(x) = \frac{g_1(\xi + r)}{r} + \frac{g_2(\xi - r)}{r} ; \quad (20)$$

i.e., it is the sum of a spherical wave moving towards decreasing  $r$  plus a spherical wave travelling towards increasing values of  $r$ . The functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  may be determined by imposing initial and boundary conditions in  $M^-$  and on  $\Sigma$ .

From eqs.(7), (9) and (10) we know that the scalar field and the dynamics of the spherical shell are closely related; that dynamics is contained in the solution of eq.(4). From eq.(9) we obtain

$$f[\mu(\tau)] = g_1[\xi(\tau) + R(\tau)] + g_2[\xi(\tau) - R(\tau)] . \quad (21)$$

To apply the matching condition (10) we need to know the components  $N_a^\pm$  in the J-F representation of the normal vector  $N$ , with the requirement  $N_r^\pm > 0$ . Those components may be obtained from the normalization conditions:

$$v \cdot v = 1 , \quad v \cdot N = 0 , \quad N \cdot N = -1 , \quad (22)$$

where the components  $v^a = (X, \dot{R}, 0, 0)$  has to be computed on both sides of  $\Sigma$ , separately. In particular on  $\Sigma^-$  the time component  $X^- = d\xi(\tau)/d\tau$ ; similarly, on  $\Sigma^+$  is  $X^+ = d\mu(\tau)/d\tau$ . From (22) we obtain

$$N^{+r} = -B, \quad N^{+\mu} = X^+, \quad N^{-r} = -(F/H)X^-, \quad N^{-\xi} = -(H/F)\dot{R}. \quad (23)$$

The definitions of the symbols used in (23) are:

$$B = + \left\{ \dot{R}^2 + 1 - \frac{G_0}{R}(2m^+ - f) \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (24)$$

$$X^+ = (1 + G_0 \epsilon^+) (\dot{R} + B)^{-1}. \quad (25)$$

The expressions for  $X^-$ ,  $H(\xi, r)$ , and  $F(\xi, r)$  can only be known symbolically and up to the first order in our approximation. However, this knowledge is enough for the purpose of the present work. In particular we have

$$X^- = 1 - (1/2)[\dot{R}^2 - \varphi_{00}(R, \xi)] , \quad (26)$$

where  $\varphi_{00}(\xi, r)$  is the first order term in the expansion of the (00) component of the metric. A straightforward calculation in first order gives

$$N(\epsilon)|_{\Sigma^-} = -(X^- + \dot{R}) \frac{g'_1}{R} + (X^- - \dot{R}) \frac{g'_2}{R} + \frac{g_1 + g_2}{R^2} X^- , \quad (27)$$

$$N(\epsilon)|_{\Sigma^+} = \frac{X^+ f'}{R} + \frac{B f}{R^2} , \quad (28)$$

the  $(\cdot)$  indicates the derivative of the function. Computing the derivative of expression (21) with respect to  $\tau$  we obtain

$$g'_1(X^- + \dot{R}) + g'_2(X^- - \dot{R}) - f' X^+ = 0 . \quad (29)$$

Therefore, from eqs.(27), (28) and (29) we have

$$N(\epsilon)|_{\Sigma^+} - N(\epsilon)|_{\Sigma^-} = (X^- + \dot{R}) \frac{2g'_1}{R} + (B - X^-) \frac{f}{R^2} . \quad (30)$$

Finally, taking into account that in first order  $(B - X^-) \simeq \dot{R}^2$ ,  $g'_1(X^- + \dot{R}) = dg_1/d\tau$ , and eq.(10) we have

$$\frac{dg_1}{d\tau} = - \frac{4\pi R(\eta - 2p)}{3 + 2\omega} - \frac{\dot{R}^2 f}{2R} . \quad (31)$$

Equation (31) is valid in the first order of approximation, including the terms in  $\dot{R}^2$ . This last equation, along with eq. (7), complete the description of a general model.

## 4.2 Frequency shift and luminosity

Consider<sup>1</sup> in  $M^+$  an observer at rest at spatial infinity and let  $t$  measure proper time along its world-line; the observer 4-velocity  $u = (\partial/\partial t)$  has components  $u^\mu = 1$ ;  $u^j = 0$  with  $j = r, \theta, \phi$ . Electromagnetic radiation emitted at the surface of the shell with characteristic frequency  $\omega_e = k \cdot v (= X^+)$  will be received at spatial infinity with frequency  $\omega_r = k \cdot u (= 1)$ . Thus,

$$\frac{\omega_e}{\omega_r} = \frac{1 + G_0 \epsilon^+}{\dot{R} + \left[ \dot{R}^2 + 1 - G_0 \frac{2m^+ - f}{R} \right]^{1/2}} \equiv \frac{dt}{d\tau} (= X^+) . \quad (32)$$

We assume that  $(2m^+ - f) > 0$ . Notice that  $(\omega_e/\omega_r) \rightarrow \infty$  (infinite redshift) for  $R \rightarrow G_0(2m^+ - f)$  and  $\dot{R} < 0$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>From now on we choose units such that  $G = 1$ ; i.e.,  $G_0 = (2\omega + 3)/(2\omega + 4)$ .

The total electromagnetic energy radiated by the shell in all direction per unit time (the luminosity of the system) measured by an observer at infinity is given by

$$L = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} 4\pi r^2 (T_{ab} \tilde{u}^a \tilde{N}^b) \Big|_r = -\dot{m}^+ / X^+ , \quad (33)$$

where  $\tilde{N}$  is the unit normal vector to the surface of constant  $r$  and  $\tilde{u}$  the 4-velocity of an observer at rest on that surface. The total electromagnetic energy  $\mathcal{E}_{em}$  radiated in a time interval  $\Delta t$  is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{em} = \int_{\Delta t} L dt = m^+(\tau) - m^+(\tau + \Delta\tau) \Rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{em} = -\dot{m}^+ , \quad (34)$$

In the case of a collapsing shell ( $\dot{R} < 0$ ), eq.(33) shows that  $L \rightarrow 0$  faster than  $\dot{m}^+$  as  $R \rightarrow G_0(2m^+ - f)$  due to an extra redshift factor.

## 5 A particular solution

To present a particular simple solution of the general model we assume that:

- The metric  $\bar{g}^-$  is also represented, in part, by a Vaidya solution, corresponding to the exterior gravitational field of a radially radiating spherically symmetric central body (the core of the exploding star), given by

$$d\bar{s}_-^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2G_0 m^-(\nu)}{r}\right) d\nu^2 + 2d\nu dr - r^2 d\Omega^2 ; \quad (35)$$

i.e, we also consider that in the interior of  $M^-$ , close to  $\Sigma$ , we have a coherent unpolarized radial flow of electromagnetic radiation represented by

$$T_{ab}^- = (\rho k_a k_b)^- , \quad (36)$$

where  $k_a^-$  is a null vector. with

$$k^{-a} = \delta_r^a , \quad \frac{dm^-}{d\nu} = -4\pi r^2 \rho^- . \quad (37)$$

- The hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is the history of a spherical shell of dust:

$$S = \eta v \otimes v . \quad (38)$$

The equation of motion for the surface layer, as given by the matching conditions eqs.(7) and (8) are

$$R(\bar{A} - \bar{B}) = 4\pi G_0 \eta R^2 =: m_0 \quad (39)$$

$$\frac{1}{\bar{B}} \left( \ddot{R} + \frac{G_0 m^+}{R^2} - \frac{G_0 \bar{X}^+ \dot{m}^+}{R} \right) - \frac{1}{\bar{A}} \left( \ddot{R} + \frac{G_0 m^-}{R^2} - \frac{G_0 \bar{X}^- \dot{m}^-}{R} \right) = 4\pi G_0 \eta , \quad (40)$$

where

$$\bar{X}^+ = (\dot{R} + \bar{B})^{-1} , \quad (41)$$

$$\bar{X}^- = (\dot{R} + \bar{A})^{-1} , \quad (42)$$

$$\bar{B} = + \left( \dot{R}^2 + 1 - \frac{2G_0 m^+}{R} \right)^{1/2} , \quad (43)$$

$$\bar{A} = + \left( \dot{R}^2 + 1 - \frac{2G_0 m^-}{R} \right)^{1/2} . \quad (44)$$

We have introduced the total mass  $m_0$  of the constituents if infinitely dispersed and at rest[15]. We assume that

$$R(\tau) \geq 2G_0 m^+ \geq 2G_0 m^- \geq 0 . \quad (45)$$

The momentum densities (or energy current densities) along the unit normal vector  $N$  to  $\Sigma^\pm$  [ $\Sigma^+$  (or  $\Sigma^-$ ) refers to  $\Sigma$  when it is considered as part of  $M^+$  (or  $M^-$ )] measured by a local observer at rest on  $\Sigma$  (4-velocity  $v = \partial/\partial\tau$ ) are given by

$$(T^{Nv})^\pm =: [\rho(k \cdot N)(k \cdot v)]^\pm = \rho^\pm (\bar{X}^\pm)^2 . \quad (46)$$

To write (46) we have used the orthogonality conditions  $N \cdot v = 0$ ,  $v \cdot v = 1$ ,  $N \cdot N = -1$ , where all the scalar products are computed with the metric  $\bar{g}$ . The total energy per time unit incident on ( $J^-$ ), or emitted by ( $J^+$ ), the shell is

$$J^\pm =: 4\pi R^2 (T^{Nv})^\pm = -\dot{m}^\pm \bar{X}^\pm . \quad (47)$$

Taking the  $\tau$ -derivative of (39) to use the resulting equation in (40), considering  $\dot{R} \neq 0$ , and the definitions (41-44), we obtain[9]

$$\dot{m}_0 = J^- - J^+ , \quad (48)$$

which is an energy-balance equation. It is easy to show that equation (39) and the definitions (43) and (44) give

$$\bar{A} = \frac{\hat{m}}{m_0} + \frac{m_o}{2R}, \quad \bar{B} = \frac{\hat{m}}{m_0} - \frac{m_o}{2R}, \quad \hat{m} = m^+ - m^- . \quad (49)$$

If the functions  $m^+(\tau)$  and  $m^-(\tau)$  are given, (39) and (40) represent a system of second-order ordinary differential equations for the unknowns  $R(\tau)$  and  $\eta(\tau)$ .

## 5.1 The equation of motion as a first order system

We are interested in a supernova explosion as the scenario to study, in a specific example, the main characteristics of scalar radiation in the BD theory. To this end we shall make some further assumptions to simplify the equation system. Let us consider first eq. (48). Once the gigantic explosion of the star takes place its core may collapse so rapidly that it forms a sort of extremely compact (degenerate) matter. This compact object, which may be a neutron star<sup>2</sup> or a black hole, is referred to as a compact supernova remnant. It may also be present a diffuse supernova remnant as a consequence of the shock wave and ejected material expanding from this explosion, and the interstellar material it sweeps up along the way. In our very crude model of the explosion, part of the interior of the shell is represented by a radiating compact object of mass  $m^-(\tau)$  whose action on the rest mass of the expanding shell is represented by  $J^-$  in (48). It seems then reasonable to assume<sup>3</sup> that  $J^- \ll J^+$  and neglect  $J^-$  in (48). This assumption is equivalent to consider  $m^-$  as a constant. Thus, eq. (48) becomes

$$\dot{m}_0 = -J^+ (= -\dot{m}^+ \bar{X}^+) . \quad (50)$$

In consonant with the last assumption we assume that the rate of scalar radiation, that originates at  $\Sigma$ , to the interior and exterior of the shell of dust, are equal; i.e.:

$$\left. \frac{dg_1}{d\tau} \right|_{\Sigma_-} = \left. \frac{df}{d\tau} \right|_{\Sigma_+} . \quad (51)$$

According to (21) what this assumption actually means is that  $g_2$  is constant; i.e., we have in part of  $M^-$ , in first order, an incoming scalar wave that originates at  $\Sigma$ , plus a static scalar field associated with the central body.

Let us introduce now the function  $a(\tau)$  by

$$a(\tau) = \hat{m}/m_0 . \quad (52)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>At the moment of a neutron star's birth, the nucleons that compose it have energies characteristic of free fall, which is to say about  $100 MeV$  per nucleon. That translates to  $10^{12} K$  or so. The star cools off very quickly, though, by neutrino emission, so that within a couple of seconds the temperature is below  $10^{11} K$  and falling fast. In this early stage of a neutron star's life neutrinos are produced copiously, and since if the neutrinos have energies less than about  $10 MeV$  they sail right through the neutron star and the surrounding matter without interacting, they act as a wonderful heat sink[16].

<sup>3</sup>Since  $J^-$  and  $J^+$  are both functions of time, the least favorable instant is at  $\tau = 0$ . In the next subsection we shall see from the initial values of all the relevant variables that  $J_i^+ > 10^4 J_\odot$ .

In terms of the parameter  $a$  and using eqs. (43), (44), and (49) we obtain a first order equation for  $R(\tau)$  in the form[7]

$$\dot{R}^2 = a^2 - 1 + G_0 \frac{m^+ + m^-}{R} - \frac{G_0^2 \hat{m}^2}{4a^2 R^2} . \quad (53)$$

Similarly, from (50) and (52) we have

$$\hat{m} \dot{a} = a \dot{\hat{m}} (1 - a \bar{X}^+) . \quad (54)$$

Replacing first  $\bar{B}$  given in (49) into (41) and then the resulting expression for  $\bar{X}^+$  into (54), we obtain

$$\hat{m} \dot{a} (2aR\dot{R} + 2a^2R - G_0 m_0) = a \dot{\hat{m}} (2aR\dot{R} - G_0 m_0) . \quad (55)$$

Eqs. (53) and (55) are two relations for the three unknowns,  $\hat{m}$ ,  $a$  and  $R$ . Condition (45) now reads  $a \geq 0$ ,  $2a^2R \geq G_0 \hat{m} \geq 0$ .

To find an explicit solution it is necessary to fix one of the unknown functions and then solve for the resulting differential equations for the other two. To guide our intuition let us replace the last obtained expression for  $\bar{X}^+$  into (54) and then use (55) to replace  $\dot{\hat{m}}$  in the result. Thus, we obtain

$$\dot{a} = - \frac{J^+}{2\hat{m}R} (2aR\dot{R} - G_0 m_0) . \quad (56)$$

We assume now

$$J^+ = 2\chi \hat{m} a R . \quad (57)$$

The value of the constant  $\chi$  is correlated to the time scale of the process and it may be conjecture that it is a characteristic of the radiation production mechanism within the shell. For  $\chi = 0$  we have a non-radiating system ( $a, \hat{m} = \text{constants}$ ). The dimension of  $\chi$  equals the inverse of a square length; the adopted unit in this paper is  $M_\odot^{-2}$ . This choice is equivalent to that one made by Hamity and Gleiser[7], on different grounds, that leads to a satisfactory model of a stellar explosion, with characteristic parameters such as maximum luminosity and time decay from that maximum, corresponding to a Type Ia supernova. This is precisely the scenario in which we propose to compute the output of scalar energy from the ejected shell<sup>4</sup>. Finally, from (56) and (57) we obtain

$$\dot{a} = -\chi a (2aR\dot{R} - G_0 \hat{m}) , \quad \chi = \text{const.} \geq 0 . \quad (58)$$

Hence, we can write (53) and (55) in the form

$$\dot{R} = \pm \left( a^2 - 1 + G_0 \frac{\hat{m} + 2m^-}{R} + \frac{G_0^2 \hat{m}^2}{4a^2 R^2} \right)^{1/2} , \quad (59)$$

$$\dot{\hat{m}} = -\chi \hat{m} (2aR\dot{R} + 2a^2R - G_0 \hat{m}) . \quad (60)$$

The system of first order differential equations, (58, 59, 60), that describes the motion of the shell, has to be considered in conjunction with the first order differential equation for the amplitude of the outgoing scalar wave, which according to eq.(31), and assumptions (38) and (51), becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{f} = \dot{g}_1 &= - \frac{4\pi R^2 \eta}{R(3+2\omega)} - \frac{\dot{R}^2 f}{2R} \\ &= - \frac{\hat{m}}{aR(3+2\omega)} - \frac{\dot{R}^2 f}{2R} . \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

The initial condition for  $f(\tau)$  may be obtained from the requirement that at the time of the explosion,  $\tau = 0$ , the exterior scalar field matches continuously to a BD static solution in the weak field approximation generated by a central body of active mass  $m_i^+$ [10]; i.e.,

$$f_i = \frac{2m_i^+}{(2\omega+3)} , \quad (62)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>If we assume that the total electromagnetic energy per unit time, radiated by the shell, is proportional to its area times the absolute temperature  $T$  at its surface to the fourth power, we have that  $T^4 \sim \hat{m} a/R$ , which is a decreasing function of time during the expansion period.



where  $f_i$  and  $m_i^+$  are the initial values of  $f(\tau)$  and  $m^+(\tau)$  respectively.

In order to compare the results of our model, in the electromagnetic mode, with observational data for a stellar explosion, such as a Type Ia Supernova[17], for instance, we need to compute the light curve,  $L(\tau)$ , from eq. (33), for values of  $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_1$ , where  $\tau_1$  corresponds to the time when the maximum luminosity has decline in one magnitude.<sup>5</sup> From eqs. (24), (25), (33) and (59) the expression for the light curve becomes

$$L(\tau) = -\dot{m} \left[ \dot{R} + \left( a^2 + \frac{G_0^2 \hat{m}^2}{4 a^2 R^2} - \frac{G_0 \hat{m}}{R} + \frac{G_0 f}{R} \right)^{1/2} \right] \left( 1 + \frac{G_0 f}{R} \right)^{-1}. \quad (63)$$

It is apparent from eq.(19) that the Keplerian mass  $M$  (the active mass), measured by an orbiting object around the exploding star, in the present approximation is

$$M = m^+ + f/2; \quad (64)$$

i.e., the total active mass is decomposed into the sum of a “tensor” component  $m^+$  and a scalar component  $f/2$ [18]. Therefore, from eq.(19) the total scalar energy radiated in the time interval  $\Delta\tau$  is given by

$$\Delta \mathcal{E}_\phi = [f(\tau) - f(\tau + \Delta\tau)]/2 \Rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{E}}_\phi = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{f}. \quad (65)$$

Thus, from eqs. (61) and (65) the total active mass radiated in the time interval  $[0, \tau_1]$ , corresponding to the observer time interval  $[0, t_1]$ , associated with the scalar field is

$$\mathcal{E}_{\phi 1} = \int_{\tau_1} \left[ \frac{\hat{m}}{2 a R(3 + 2\omega)} + \frac{\dot{R}^2 f}{4R} \right] d\tau. \quad (66)$$

## 5.2 Numerical results

We have performed a numerical integration of the system (32,34,58,59,60,61,65), corresponding to the functions  $t(\tau)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{em}(\tau)$ ,  $a(\tau)$ ,  $R(\tau)$ ,  $\hat{m}(\tau)$ ,  $f(\tau)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_\phi(\tau)$ , with initial conditions, at  $\tau = 0$ :

$$t_i = 0, \mathcal{E}_{emi} = 0, a_i^2 - 1 = 10^{-3}, R_i = 2 \times 10^{-2} R_\odot \equiv 9469.4 M_\odot, \\ \hat{m}_i = (10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1}) M_\odot, f_i = 2 m_i^+ / (2\omega + 3), \mathcal{E}_{\phi i} = 0.$$

The constant  $m^- = 1 M_\odot$ , and  $\chi$  was chosen to have three different values, corresponding to different cases. The initial value of the total energy per unit time emitted by the shell verifies<sup>6</sup>  $J_i^+ > 3.5 \times 10^{37} \text{ergs/s}$ . Similarly, for these initial values and  $\omega = 500$ , the initial velocity of the shell becomes  $\dot{R}_i \approx 10000 \text{km/s}$ . The value of  $\omega = 500$  it is generally accepted[19] as the lowest bound implies from Solar system tests, although some more recent estimates[20] have raised this limit to  $\omega > 40000$ , obtained using signal timing from the Cassini spacecraft[21]. The same authors[20] point out that this bound may be weaker on cosmological scales than in the solar system[22]. On the other hand, in a recent publication[23] it is shown that the parameter  $\omega$  “runs” with the scale factor in a Friedman-Robertson-Walker metric in order that a BD theory serves as a successful model for dark matter - dark energy. In this model the value of the parameter  $\omega$  is less than  $(-3/2)$  in the matter to scalar transition period; equal to  $(-3/2)$  in the BD scalar field dominated era; and greater than  $(3/2)$  in the scalar to acceleration transition period. In any case, in our BD model of an stellar explosion in the weak field approximation, the main characteristics of the model are quite insensitive to any value of  $\omega$  greater than 2 since it results  $G_0 \approx 1$ , except for *the radiation rate of scalar field and the total energy radiated in the scalar mode* as it can be seen from eqs. (62), (65), and (66).

For the given set of initial conditions we have computed the light curve  $L(\tau)$ , for  $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_1$ , and the total radiated active masses  $\mathcal{E}_{em1}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\phi 1}$  in the same time interval. In Table 1 we show a comparative set of results for different values of the constants  $\chi$  and  $\omega$ , and the initial values of  $\hat{m}_i$ ;  $\Delta t_1 = t_m - t_1$ , where  $t_m$

<sup>5</sup>Actually, the maximum luminosity should correspond to the B-band[1] but in our very crude model of a stellar explosion we do not have the possibility to specify the B-band from the model of radial electromagnetic radiation corresponding to the Vaidya solution.

<sup>6</sup>The corresponding value for a star like the Sun is  $J^+ \sim 10^{33} \text{ergs/s}$ .

| $\chi$<br>[ $M_\odot$ ] <sup>-2</sup> | $\omega$        | $\hat{m}_i$<br>[ $M_\odot$ ] | $L_m$<br>[ergs/s]     | $\Delta t_1$<br>days | $f_1$<br>[ $M_\odot$ ] | $\mathcal{E}_{em1}$<br>[ergs] | $\mathcal{E}_{\phi1}$<br>[ergs] |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $5 \times 10^{-24}$                   | 500             | $10^{-3}$                    | $3.53 \times 10^{43}$ | 22.1                 | $15 \times 10^{-4}$    | $1.04 \times 10^{50}$         | $4.46 \times 10^{50}$           |
| $10^{-23}$                            | 500             | $10^{-3}$                    | $4.98 \times 10^{43}$ | 15.8                 | $15 \times 10^{-4}$    | $1.04 \times 10^{50}$         | $4.37 \times 10^{50}$           |
| $25 \times 10^{-24}$                  | 500             | $10^{-3}$                    | $7.89 \times 10^{43}$ | 9.70                 | $15 \times 10^{-4}$    | $1.04 \times 10^{50}$         | $4.22 \times 10^{50}$           |
| $10^{-23}$                            | 5000            | $10^{-3}$                    | $4.98 \times 10^{43}$ | 15.7                 | $15 \times 10^{-5}$    | $1.04 \times 10^{50}$         | $4.38 \times 10^{49}$           |
| $10^{-23}$                            | 50              | $10^{-3}$                    | $4.98 \times 10^{43}$ | 15.7                 | $14.7 \times 10^{-3}$  | $1.04 \times 10^{50}$         | $4.26 \times 10^{51}$           |
| $10^{-23}$                            | 5               | $10^{-3}$                    | $4.98 \times 10^{43}$ | 15.6                 | $12.0 \times 10^{-2}$  | $1.04 \times 10^{50}$         | $3.04 \times 10^{52}$           |
| $10^{-23}$                            | 500             | $10^{-2}$                    | $4.99 \times 10^{44}$ | 15.8                 | (1)                    | $1.04 \times 10^{51}$         | (1)                             |
| $10^{-23}$                            | $5 \times 10^4$ | $10^{-2}$                    | $4.99 \times 10^{44}$ | 15.8                 | (1)                    | $1.04 \times 10^{51}$         | (1)                             |
| $5 \times 10^{-24}$                   | 500             | $10^{-2}$                    | $3.53 \times 10^{44}$ | 21.9                 | (1)                    | $1.04 \times 10^{51}$         | (1)                             |
| $10^{-23}$                            | 500             | $10^{-1}$                    | $4.99 \times 10^{45}$ | 15.6                 | (1)                    | $1.04 \times 10^{52}$         | (1)                             |

<sup>(1)</sup>The active mass associated with the scalar field,  $f_i/2$ , is totally radiated to infinity after a time  $t_f < t_1$ , well before the shell reaches its maximum brightness. For the values of  $t_f$ , see the text.

Table 1: A comparative set of results for different values of the constants  $\chi$  and  $\omega$ , and  $\hat{m}_i$ ; the other parameters and  $m^- = 1M_\odot$ , are the same in all cases.

and  $t_1$  are the observer times at the maximum luminosity,  $L_m$ , and when it has decline in one magnitude,  $L_1$ , respectively<sup>7</sup>. By  $f_1$ , we indicate the final value of  $f$  at observer time  $t_1$ . When  $\hat{m}_i = 10^{-2}M_\odot$ ,  $f(\tau)$  becomes equal to zero at a time  $t_f \approx 716s$ ; while if  $\hat{m}_i = 10^{-1}M_\odot$ , it results  $t_f \approx 1.5s$ ; in both cases  $t_f \ll t_0$ , where  $t_0$  is the observer time at which  $\dot{R} = 0$ ; in all the cases  $t_m < t_0$ . The reason for this fast approaching to zero of  $f(\tau)$  is that  $\dot{f}$  is essentially proportional to  $\hat{m}$ , through the first term on the right hand side of eq. (61). Finally, it may be important to mention that although we have worked with the exact Vaidya solution, for simplicity, the same numerical results are obtained if we drop in the equations all the terms quadratic in  $\hat{m}$ .

## 6 Final comments

We have treated a very crude model of an exploding star in the weak field limit of BD theory. However, the model presents aspects in the electromagnetic energy radiated to infinity that resembles some characteristics data of a Type Ia Supernova. The most noticeable feature is shown in the first three lines of Table 1; i.e., the value of the constant  $\chi$  relates the absolute magnitude at maximum brightness and the decline rate in one magnitude from that maximum. This characteristic has become one of the most accurate method to measure luminosity distances to objects at cosmological distances[1, 2]. We also notice from Table 1, that we may scale the value of  $L_m$  by changing just the initial value  $\hat{m}_i$ , without affecting the decline rate  $\Delta t_1$ . These values seem to be independent of the value of  $\omega$  within a wide range. Finally, the total electromagnetic energy, radiated in the time interval  $[0, t_1]$ , is independent of the value of  $\chi$  and  $\omega$ ; the total energy  $\mathcal{E}_{\phi1}$ , in those cases in which it is not limited by the initial value  $f_i$ , it is larger the smaller the value of  $\omega$ .

On the other hand, the total active mass associated with the scalar field, as given by  $f_i/2$ , is totally radiated to infinity. This process takes place in a time lapse considerably smaller than the time in which the star reaches its maximum brightness after explosion, if the mass of the shell is of the order of or greater than  $10^{-2}M_\odot$ . This represents a mass loss in the ratio of the “tensor” component to the scalar component of 1 to  $(2\omega + 3)$ , in agreement with a general result of Hawking[3].

## Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to CONICET of Argentina, and SCyT of the Universidad Nacional de Córdoba for financial support.

---

<sup>7</sup> $(L_m/L_1) = 10^{2/5}$ [24].

## References

- [1] M.M. Phillips, Ap. J. **413**, L105 (1993).
- [2] See: [www.all-science-fair-projects.com/science\\_fair\\_projects\\_encyclopedia/Supernova](http://www.all-science-fair-projects.com/science_fair_projects_encyclopedia/Supernova), for a brief description of Supernovae types
- [3] S.W. Hawking, Commn. math. Phys. **25**, 167 (1972).
- [4] P.C. Vaidya, Indian Acad. Sci. **A33**, 264 (1951); Nature **171**, 260 (1953).
- [5] W.J. Kaufmann III, J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1053 (1968).
- [6] C.Brans, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University (1961).
- [7] V.H. Hamity and R.J. Gleiser, Astrophysics and Space Science **58**, 353 (1978).
- [8] See, for instance: K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2847 (1979).
- [9] V.H. Hamity and R. Spinosa, J. of General Rel. and Grav. **16**, 9 (1984).
- [10] A. Barros and C. Romero, Phys. Lett. **A245**, 31 (1998).
- [11] Nobuyuki Sakai and Kei-ichi Maeda, Phys. Rev, D **48**, 5570 (1993).
- [12] D.S. Goldwirth and H.W. Zaglauer, Class. Quantum Grav. **10**, 1507 (1993).
- [13] C. Barrabès and G.F. Bressange, Class. Quantum Grav. **14**, 805 (1997).
- [14] C. Brans and R.H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124**, 925 (1961).
- [15] W. Israel, Nuovo Cimento **B44S10**,1 (1966); Erratum-Ibid.**48B**, 463 (1967); Il Nuovo Cimento **44B**, 1 (1966).
- [16] For a quick reference to neutorn stars see: <http://www.astro.umd.edu/~miller/nstar.html>
- [17] K. Kriscimas *et al.*, “Optical and Infrared Photometry of Type Ia Supernovae 1991T, 1991bg, 1999ek, 2001bt, 2001cn, 2001cz, and 2002bo”, arXiv: astro-ph/0409036 v1 (2 Sep 2004).
- [18] D. Barraco and V. Hamity, Class. Quantum Grav. **11**, 2113 (1994).
- [19] See, for example, S.M. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry*, (Addison Wesley, San Francisco, 2004).
- [20] S.M. Carroll *et al.*, arXiv: astro-ph/0408081 v2 (28 Sep 2004).
- [21] B. Bertotti, L. Iess and P. Tortora, Nature, **425**, 374 (2003).
- [22] T. Clifton, D.F. Mota and J.D. Barrow, arXiv:gr-qc/0406001 v1 (1 Jun 2004).
- [23] H. Kim, “Brans-Dicke Theory as an Unified Model for Dark Matter-Dark Energy”, arXiv: astro-ph/0408577 v3 (10 Sep 2004).
- [24] See, for instance, C. Payne-Gaposchkin *Introduction to Astronomy*, Chapter XII, (Universitry Paperbacks, Methuen and Co., London, 1961)